

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/077,343 | CURTIS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Hugh Jones | 2128 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Hugh Jones.

(3) _____.

(2) Anthony H. Azure.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 101 rejections

Claims discussed:
 all

Prior art documents discussed:
 none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 agreed on claim language to traverse 101 rejections.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

HUGH JONES Ph.D.
 PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNICAL CENTER 2100
 (Examiner/Supervisor Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)